IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
Alexandria Division

BOARD OF TRUSTEES, SHEET METAL )
WORKERS' NATIONAL PENSION )
FUND, )
                                   )
       Plaintiff, )
                                    )
     v. )       Civil Action No. 1:22-cv-00557 (RDA/WEF)
                                    )
J&J ADVANCED THERMAL )
SOLUTIONS, INC., )
                                    )
       Defendant. )

## ORDER

This matter comes before the Court upon the Report and Recommendation ("Recommendation") issued by Magistrate Judge William Fitzpatrick on August 1, 2022. Dkt. 14. In this employee pension benefits case, Judge Davis recommends that the Court (1) grant Plaintiff Board of Trustees, Sheet Metal Workers' National Pension Fund's ("Plaintiff") Motion for Default Judgment against Defendant J&J Advanced Thermal Solutions, Inc. ("Defendant"); (2) enter judgment against Defendant in the amount of $7,816.81, consisting of Defendant's unpaid Exit Contribution, accrued interest, and liquidated damages; and (3) enter judgment against Defendant for $4,496.98, consisting of Plaintiff's attorneys' fees and costs incurred in pursuing this matter. *See* Dkt. 14 at 11. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b)(2), the deadline for submitting objections to Judge Fitzpatrick's Recommendation was August 15, 2022. To date, no objections have been filed.

After reviewing the record and Judge Fitzpatrick's Recommendation, and finding no clear error,[1] the Court hereby APPROVES and ADOPTS the Recommendation. Dkt. 14. Accordingly, Plaintiff's Motion (Dkt. 8) is GRANTED.

The Clerk is directed to enter judgment in favor of Plaintiff pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 58, forward copies of this Order to counsel of record, and close this civil action.

It is SO ORDERED.

Alexandria, Virginia
August 17, 2022

_____ /s/
Rossie D. Alston, Jr.
United States District Judge

---

[1] *See Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (holding that in the absence of any objections to a Magistrate Judge's Recommendation, the Court "need not conduct a *de novo* review, but instead must 'only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation'").